IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUMMARY SUIT NO.3815 OF 2002

Sreyas Naval Raghuwanshi. ...Plaintiff.

vs.

1. AAR-TEX (India) & others. ...Defendants.

Ms.Kavita Lalwani, for Plaintiff.

None for Defendants.

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH,J.

DATED: 17th July, 2006.

P.C.:-

The learned Counsel appearing for plaintiff states that decree is already passed against defendant nos. 1 and 3. In this suit by the order passed on the summons for judgment, conditional leave was granted to defendant no.2 to defend the suit. The defendant no.2 has not complied with the condition. Non deposit certificate has been produced. Thus, there is no leave to defend the suit granted to the defendant no.2. Heard the learned Counsel appearing for plaintiff. Perused the record. The suit is decreed in terms of prayer clause of the suit. Refund of Court fees as per rules.